

## **REMARKS**

### **Present Status of Application**

The Office Action mailed, April 11, 2003, stated that claims 13-22, 24-29 and 31-34 are allowed. Claims 1-12, 23, 30 and 35-36 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action further pointed out that claims 1 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Claims 23 and 30 has been amended, in response to the points mentioned by the Office Action, while claims 1-12 and 35-36 have been cancelled. No new matter has been added to the application by the amendments made to the specification, claims and drawings. In light of the amendments and the following discussion, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection is respectfully requested.

### **For the objection of the specification**

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

The Office Action considered that the phrase "the solder block material and the wettable layer material may diffuse into each other" as claimed in claims 23 and 30.

Claims 23 and 30 have been amended to delete the phrase.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**Discussion for 35 USC§ 112 rejections**

Claims 1-12, 23, 30 and 35-36 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant thanks the Office Action for carefully review the present application. At first, claims 1-12 and 35-36 have been cancelled. Claims 23 and 30 have been amended to delete the unclear phrase and provide further descriptions for clarification, according to the suggestion of the Office Action. Applicant believes that no new matter has been added to the application by the amendments made to the claims 23 and 30. Withdrawn of this rejection under §112 is respectfully requested.

As a result, Applicant submits that claims 13-34 are in condition for allowance and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.


**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date :

July 1, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

  
Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100  
Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
Taipei, 100  
Taiwan  
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233  
Email: [belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw](mailto:belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw)  
[Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw](mailto:Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw)